# Quality Function Deployment in Airport Terminals: The Airport of Porto Alegre Case

Fernanda D. Weber, Aline C. B. Mancuso, Luiz A. S. Senna, Márcia E. S. Echeveste

Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Industrial and Transportation Engineering Department, Av. Osvaldo Aranha, 99 – 5 °floor, Porto Alegre – RS, BRAZIL

Email: tridafe@gmail.com; aline.mancuso@yahoo.com; {lsenna, echeveste}@ producao.ufrgs.br

Abstract—Since years 90, the Brazilian air market suffered major changes, starting with the opening of the market and culminating with increasing competition in the airline market. These factors, coupled with the country's economic stability, and the ease to credit, generated a significant increase in demand in the last decade. Current infrastructure of airports could not keep up with the growing market, which led to the situation faced daily in Brazilian airports: crowded waiting rooms and constant delays in flights. Within this context, this work aims to evaluate the quality of services within Porto Alegre Airport/Salgado Filho. Quality function Deployment tool (QFD) is used as the main tool for evaluating the levels of quality. The requirements have been identified and prioritized with the application of market research, and subsequently analyzed by the statistical tool Principal Component Analysis (PCA). The result was the construction of a survey instrument to assess airports, statistically valid, and a methodology to weave strategic guidelines for implementation of improvements.

*Index Terms*—Quality Function deployment (QFD), quality service, airport's customers prioritization

# I. INTRODUCTION

In a country of continental dimensions such as Brazil, air transports play an important role, both in economic and cultural development. Flexible policy of Brazilian commercial aviation, which occurred in the years 90, allowed the gradual removal of control over economic variables. The new management model, together with the economic stability of the country and the insecurity of other transport modes eventually boost strongly the air market. On the other hand, technological advances in aircraft, becoming larger and heavier, brought problems in airport infrastructure [1].

The demand for air travel has increased significantly in the first decade of the 21st century, but the airport infrastructure was unable to keep up with this growing market. In this way, what if there are crowded terminals and delays in flights. This reality has given rise to studies that optimize operational procedures, as well as the areas available at airports. Therefore, the development of service levels for passenger terminals at airports has been a constant search for airport operators around the world [2], [3].

To know and analyze user's preferences regarding airports passenger terminals makes it possible to identify its priorities. This process assists decision-making throughout processes of analysis of portfolio of investments in the sector. This can result in cost reduction, redirection of investment and increased level of users' satisfaction. In this way, the relevance of this research is attributed to the growing number of passengers and, consequently, the largest moving within the airport terminals, not only people, but also of more aircraft and increased wear of the operation infrastructure.

In this context, the objective of this paper is to present a methodology that brings together market research techniques, statistical techniques of principal component analysis - PCA and quality function deployment - QFD, to assess the quality of services in passenger terminals. Also part of the scope of the study is to identify some key components of quality infrastructure and airport services, in order to contribute to the identification of the services that need improvement. This practice enables the maximization of future investments.

### II. QUALITY IN TRANSPORT

Today, the perception of quality has become essential for the evaluation of level of service of any industry, wherever the market. According to the Airports Council International [4], the shuttle can be understood as something that is produced and consumed simultaneously, and may not be stored, and where customers have direct contact with the operation. Underground airport customers are airlines, passengers, concessionaires and all others that use the physical space of the airport, but are not necessarily interested in the modal. However, these users have different perceptions regarding the performance of the operations. A way to manage the infrastructure and quality of the airport services is the user satisfaction survey, aimed at identifying, from the expectations, priorities in the actions of service improvements. In this work, the users were the passengers.

Manuscript received October 30, 2012; revised December 15, 2012; accepted December 30, 2012

This work was supported in part by the CAPES under a research grant, and part by INFRAERO, that permission to interview the passengers inside the airport.

# A. Airports Services: Quality and Level of Service

Several studies about level of service in passenger terminal were developed for many international agencies [4]-[6]. However, most of these studies were strongly criticized, mainly for not prioritizing the users' survey on the development of the patterns and methods proposed [7]-[9]. On the other hand, many works show methods to evaluate level of services in airports passenger's terminals under the users' view [7], [10]-[17]. Table I shows some methods that had evaluate the quality in several transport modes.

TABLE I.	METHODS THAT EVALUATED THE QUALITY IN TRANSPORT.

Sector	Methods
Road Concession	Quality Function Deployment (QFD) [18]
Cabotage Transport	Quality Function Deployment (QFD) + Stated Preference (SP) [19]
	Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) [14]
Cargo Transport	Quality Function Deployment (QFD) [20]
	Quality Function Deployment (QFD) [21]
	Quality Function Deployment (QFD) +
	Principal Component Analysis (PCA) [22]
Public Transport	Quality Function Deployment (QFD) [23]
Fublic Traisport	Quality Function Deployment (QFD) +
	Principal Component Analysis (PCA) [24]
	Quality Function Deployment (QFD) [25]
	Psychometric Scaling Technique [7]
	Opinion Survey [13]
	Opinion Survey [26]
	Satisfaction Survey [27]
	Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) [28]
	Perception – Response Method (P-R) [10]
Air Transport	Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) [17]
	Utility Function [11]
	Fuzzy Logical [15]
	Opinion Survey [12]
	Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) [3]
	Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) [29]
	Linear Regression [30]

An airport terminal can be defined as a set of interacting subsystems, allowing the realization of modal transfer: from land to air and vice-versa. Around these movements, ie, the embarkation and disembarkation of passengers, a number of different components are installed and services are produced, in order to ensure customer expectations in relation to the terminal [27]. In other words, in addition to meeting the satisfaction with the result of the service (meet the scheduled times of departure and arrival, for example), there is still satisfaction during the generation process itself, with which the client has direct contact (comfort, security, etc.).

Currently, the Brazilian airline industry is in transition, with the modernization of airports and airlines. The Brazilian government initiated a program of privatization of airports, whose goal is to accelerate modernization. Just seven months after announcing the privatization program, the Brazilian Federal Government held the first auction with lots including concessions of airports of Guarulhos (SP), Campinas (SP) and Brasilia (DF). The entry of private capital in airport management should generate an increase in the number of studies addressing the identification of service quality in passenger terminals, in order to reduce costs, redirect investment and increase the level of customer satisfaction.

# III. IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF SERVICES THROUGH QFD

The assumption that customer satisfaction is a key issue to the success of a company is already consolidated in the market. To achieve this goal, the company must provide a product or service with higher added value to ensure its quality. In this sense, the higher the approximation client company, the greater the suitability of services to the needs demanded. QFD, a widespread tool, seeks to incorporate the process of developing the perfect product or service meeting the needs explicit, implicit, current and future customers, quickly and effectively.

It is in the planning stages that are the most likely to ensure the fulfillment of customer needs with quality [31]. Investments made at this stage reverberate in substantial savings of resources spent in later stages of problem solving. Part of this initial stage is listening to customers. However, it is not always easy to translate the desires and expectations of consumers into products or services. Often, the staff of companies coming up with language used does not fit directly to the technical specifications, such as: good picture, nice place, easy to use, good to ride, easy to understand, and so on.

What makes QFD a different tool, the feasibility is that it has to explain the relationship between customer needs, the characteristics of the product / service and the parameters of the production process, thus allowing the harmonization and prioritization of the various decisions taken during the process of designing the product/service. Other important issue to be emphasized is the collective commitment of the members involved in the process, they now have a common understanding regarding the decisions, their reasons and their implications.

A systematic QFD involves the construction of a series of integrated arrays, where the first one is deployed demands of quality according to the customers (in this study defined as passengers at Porto Alegre International Airport), and its quality, items that are measurable qualities of these defendants. Following are deployed arrays of parts of the product, the processes that involve the resources needed for their realization (human and infrastructure) and costs.

# IV. METHODOLOGICAL PROCEDURE

The methodology follows the conceptual model of Ribeiro [32], shown in Figure 1, a simplified method adapted from matrices Akao [33]. This methodology was applied in different cases in teaching workshops, being

flexible to different knowledge areas such as manufacturing, education and transport [22], [34]-[39].



Figure 1. Conceptual model for services (Ribeiro et al., 2001).

The focus of this study was the terminals of the airport complex of Porto Alegre. Salgado Filho Airport is located in the capital city of Porto Alegre, which suffers from urbanization nearby. This characteristic complicates the feasibility of expansion of its facilities. The biggest losers are the passengers who suffer flight delays, lack of parking spaces (paid or not), with the cost associated with parking, and the lack of more modern instruments that allow the aircraft landing in low visibility (instruments called "anti-fog"). The latter, a characteristic common in autumn and winter in the state capital. For these factors, it was decided that the target population of this study are passengers.

#### A. Market Research

The first step is to "listen to customers", which aims to translate the attributes that influence customer perception to product quality. In this study, we identified the qualities demanded by passengers on the infrastructure and services of the passenger terminal of the airport. This process was accomplished in 02 steps: (i) Exploratory and (ii) quantitative phase [40], [41].

In the exploratory phase passengers were heard when awaiting their flights in the departure lounge. Also, some industry experts were interviewed. In addition, a literature review was conducted aiming at identifying additional attributes. The results were divided into the quality demands, grouped by affinity, forming a logical tree, which is shown in Table II.

In the second phase, a framework was conceived from the logical tree resulting from the first phase, with specific and objective questions where respondents' weights assigned to items. The sample was defined for convenience and 240 responses were obtained. This number was considered sufficient for academic purposes. The questionnaire was pre-tested and validated according to Cronbach's alpha coefficient, which measures the internal consistency and reliability of the responses. Values above 0,70 are considered satisfactory measures, this study showed a value of 0,90. In Table II can be seen coefficient values for each construct.

TABLE II. TREE QUALITY.

Constructs	Quality Demanded
Airport Access $\alpha = 0,784$	Availability of public transport and taxi service Conservation status of vehicles of public transport
	and taxi service
	Availability of a bus line pre-defined, linking the road to the airport
Comfort in Airport Dependencies $\alpha = 0,751$	Availability of equipment of facilitate the offset,
	such as elevators, escalators and baggage carts
	Distribution of seats inside the terminals
	Distribution of the bathrooms inside the terminals
Airport	Availability of parking spaces
Parking	dependencies of the terminals
$\alpha = 0,696$	Rates for parking
Equipment	Speedy recovery of luggage (waiting time)
operation	Avoid flight delays due to weather (modernization
(fingers,	of equipment)
conveyor belt,	Ease of access to the embarkation and disembarkation of passengers
etc.) $\alpha = 0,711$	Ease of integration between terminals (two physic terminals)
Feederal	Availability of food shops on both terminals
Convenience	Availability of convenience services on both terminals
$\alpha = 0,865$	Rates in services and convenience products
	Rates in services and products supply
	Availability of information counters at the airport
Information Service	Availability of electronic information panels at terminals
$\alpha = 0,722$	Signaling for guidance of passengers in the and out of the terminals

#### B. Quality Matrix

The quality matrix is a kind of conceptual map that provides the means for inter-functional planning and communications [25]. It is the first of three matrices that make up the conceptual model applied to services, and the matrix is also considered more important.

The prioritization of demands qualities was performed using the statistical technique of Principal Component Analysis (PCA). This technique is often used to identify a small number of factors that account for most of the observed variance in the data, and also to show the relationship between the variables [42]. The implementation of the PCA in this study was confirmatory in nature, which allows checking how well the measured variables represent the constructs. The main advantage is that it is able to test a theory analytically, explaining how different items measured describe behavioral measures important [43]. Therefore, evaluating the quality of the medium used in a behavioral model is important because one cannot obtain valid conclusions without valid measures.

For the results obtained by applying the PCA was calculated Cronbach's alpha, with the objective of validating the internal consistency of the constructs. That is, checking whether the constructs are well grouped. The Cronbach's alpha for all constructs were superior measure considered satisfactory. Therefore, the results of PCA were statistically accepted to conduct the evaluation of the importance of quality demanded items. Figure 2 presents the prioritized demands.

Meeting the demands of quality is ensured from the point of view of production of the service, the quality characteristics that are measurable actions that influence user satisfaction with quality items demanded. In this study, the quality prioritized features are shown in Figure 3. By analyzing the Pareto diagram, there is the main quality characteristics are related to transportation: the average age of the fleet indicates the quality of public transport available, and equipment that allow landing and takeoffs in low visibility are directly related also flight delays at the airport in Porto Alegre. The features prioritized below cover access to the airport, covering both modal (like number of public transport routes, number of taxis, parking spaces, cost of parking, etc.). As signs indicating nearby area airport (plates guidance in and out of the terminals, with two operating terminals embarkation / disembarkation). It also covers the access to the aircraft. The characteristics associated with information services and comforts are among the intermediate priority. The existence of foodservice and convenience were the lowest priority, but the costs charged by such establishments had higher priority, indicating that customers are more susceptible to changes that directly affect the financial aspect.







Figure 3. Features Demanded Prioritization.

#### C. Procedures Matrix

In the matrix of procedures (services) are related quality characteristics with the various procedures that make up the service studied. The completion of this matrix allows visualization of the importance of each procedure in the composition of service. Figure 4 shows the array of services, while Figure 5 shows the prioritization procedures.



Figure 4. Procedures Matrix (services).



Figure 5. Procedures Priorization

In this study, servicing the terminal has priority over the other procedures. Associated with the operation of the track, these two procedures comprise much of the interaction of passengers with airline service. Mainly about check-in services, since these services can now be done online, before you even get to the airport.

### V. FINAL REMARKS

A planning tool for QFD quality was adequate for the purpose it was proposed in this paper. In the process of building the Matrix Quality became possible to sort out the expectations of customers (passengers) on 21 quality items demanded that in turn were deployed on 22 quality traits in order to monitor and seek continuous improvement services of Porto Alegre airport terminal.

The prioritization of quality items defendant, after competitive evaluations and strategic investments revealed that improvements should be focused on qualifying for access to the airport via public

12

transportation, whether public (bus, metro, etc.), or individual (taxi). Another issue that deserves further consideration for future improvements is a complex operation, such as the modernization of instrument approach and improving the integration of the terminals, the first being a major reason for late flights in the months from May to September.

For the quality prioritized in the Matrix Quality, after considering the competition and the difficulty of action for improvement, given the necessary caveats, the results also pointed to investments aimed at improving access to the terminal by means of public transport and operation thereof. Public transport fleet modernization, improved transportation network that meets the complex and the integration between the two terminals, and system upgrade approximation of aircraft instruments by 61% would meet the expectations demanded by passengers who use the airport in Porto Alegre.

The unfolding of Matrix Services showed that improvements made in maintenance of the terminals are the priority. These services, along with those operating in the parking lane and account for 51% of the needs of passengers. That is, investments in these sectors, improve the perceived quality of the complex according to these customers.

#### REFERENCES

- R. Rodolpho, "Índices de dimensionamento de terminais de passageiros." Conclusion graduate course, Department of Science and Technology Aerospace – DCTA, Technological Institute of Aeronautics – ITA, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 1997.
- [2] A. G. Medeiros, "Um médolo para o dimensionamento de terminais de passageiros em aeroportos brasileiros." M.S. thesis, Department of Science and Technology Aerospace – DCTA, Technological Institute of Aeronautics – ITA, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 2004.
- [3] M. Bandeira and A.R. Correia, "Determinação dos critérios para avaliar o nível de serviço de um aeroporto," in *Proc. 12th Encontro de Inicia ção Cient fica e Pós-Gradua ção*, São Jos é dos Campos, 2006.
- [4] *Quality of Service at Airports: Standards & Measurements.* Airports Council International - ACI, Geneva, 2000.
- [5] Special Report 215: Measuring Airport Landside Capacity. Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington D.C, 1987.
- [6] "A discussion paper on level of service definition and methodology for calculating airport capacity," Report TP 202, *Transport Canada*, 1979.
- [7] C. Müller and G.D. Gosling, "A framework for evaluating level of service for airport terminals," *Transportation Planning and Technology*, vol. 16, pp. 45-61, 1991.
- [8] N. Ashford, "Level of service design concept for airport passenger terminal: A European view," *Transportation Research Record*, *TRB* vol. 1199, pp. 19-32, 1988.
- [9] A. R. Correia and S. C. Wirasingue, "Evaluation of level of service at airport passenger terminal: A review of research approaches," *Transportation Research Record, TRB*, vol. 1888, pp. 1-6, 2004.
- [10] S. A. Mumayiz and N. Ashford, "Methodology for planning and operations management of airport terminal facilities," *Transportation Research Record*, *TRB*, vol. 1094, pp. 24-35, 1986.
- [11] K. F. Omer and A. M. Khan, "Airport landside level of service estimation: Utility theoretic approach," *Transportation Research Record*, *TRB*, vol. 1199, pp. 33-40, 1988.
- [12] P. N. Senevirastne and N. Martel, "Variables influencing performance of air terminal buildings," *Transportation Planning* and *Technology*, vol. 16, n. 1, pp. 1177-1179, 1991.

- [13] D. L. Rhoades, B. Waguespack Jr., and S. Young, "Developing a quality index for USA airports," *Managing Service Quality*, vol. 10, n. 4, pp. 257-262, 2000.
- [14] J. V. Caixeta Filho, Gestão log stica do transporte de cargas, Editora Atlas, Brazil: São Paulo, 2001.
- [15] C. H Yeh and Y. L. Kuo, "Evaluation passenger services of Asiapacific international airports," *Transportation Research Part E*, vol. 39, pp. 35-48, 2003.
- [16] A. A. Magri Junior and CJ. P. Alves, "Convenient airports: Point of view of the passengers," in *Proc. the ATRS – Air Transport Research Society World Conference*, Toulouse, 2003.
- [17] M. Oliveira, L. M. Pogianelo, C. M. F. Couto and A. A. Correia, "Análise multicritério para avaliação de nível de serviço em terminais de passageiros em aeroportos," in *Simp ósio de Transporte A éreo- V SITRAER*, S ão Paulo, 2006, pp. 191-201.
- [18] R. F. Holz, G. H. Boffo, R. P. D. Muniz, L. R. B. Andrade, and M. E. Echeveste, "Uso do QFD para a avaliação da satisfação dos usu ários de rodovias concedidas no RS," in Anais XXIIIV Congresso de Pesquisa e Ensino em Transportes, ANPET, Salvador, 2010.
- [19] M. A. Stanton, F. D. Michel, A. M. F. Danilevicz, and L. A. S. Senna, "Aplicação de QFD e preferência declarada no transporte de cabotagem," *Revista Transportes*, vol. 11, n. 1, pp. 42-48, 2003.
- [20] G. Bastidas, R. Nery and M. M. de Carvalho, "Uso do QFD no setor de serviços: Avalia ção de uma transportadora rodovi ária de carga," in *ENEGEP*, Salvador, BA, Brazil, 2001.
- [21] F. D. Michel, A. M. F. Danilevicz, M. E. Echeveste, and A. L. Schein, "Problemas e ações de melhoria no setor de transporte de carga do Rio Grande do Sul," in *Anais XVIII Congresso de Pesquisa e Ensino em Transporte ANPET*, Florian ópolis, SC, Brazil, 2004.
- [22] Dexheimer, A. Korzenowski, K. Faccio, L. Ferronato, and M. E. Echeveste, "Desdobramento da função qualidade visando a melhoria do transporte coletivo urbano por ônibus," in *Anais XVI Congreso Panamerica de Ingenier á de Tránsito, Transporte y Log ática, PANAM*, Lisboa, 2010.
- [23] F. F. Pêgo, E. Zandonade, and G. C. M. Neto, "Aplicação da metodologia QFD no transporte coletivo urbano de passageiros," *Revista dos Transportes P iblicos*, n.118, pp. 53-65, 2008.
- [24] A. Korzenowski, K. Faccio, L. Dexheimer, L. Ferronato, and M. E. Echeveste, "QFD no serviço de transporte coletivo urbano por ônibus utilizando an alise de componentes principais para priorização dos requisitos dos usuários," in Anais XXII Congresso de Pesquisa e Ensino em Transportes, ANPET, Fortaleza, RN, Brazil, 2008.
- [25] D. M. Silva, A. M. F. Danilevicz and L. A. Lindau, "Perfil da tecnologia embarcada para ônibus urbano por meio da aplica ção do QFD," in *Transporte em Transforma ção V*, CNT, Ed. Makron Books, 2000.
- [26] A. A. Magri Junior and C. J. P Alves, "Passenger terminals at Brazilian airports: An evaluation of quality," *Journal of Brazilian Air Transportation Research Society*, vol. 1, pp.9-17, 2005.
  [27] M. Bandeira and A.R. Correia, "Avaliação da qualidade dos
- [27] M. Bandeira and A.R. Correia, "Avaliação da qualidade dos servi os do terminal de passageiros do Aeroporto Internacional de S ão Paulo/Guarulhos," in *Simp ósio de Transporte A éreo, VII SITRAER*, Rio de Janeiro, 2008, pp 457-468.
- [28] M. Bandeira and A.R. Correia, "Priorização dos serviços do terminal de passageiros segundo o perfil dos usuários no Aeroporto Internacional de São Paulo/Guarulhos," in Anais da XXI Associação Nacional de Pesquisa e Ensino em Transporte, ANPET, Rio de Janeiro, 2007.
- [29] M. Oliveira, L. M. Pogianelo, C. M. F. Couto, and A. A. Correia, "Impact on the level of service due to flight transfers between airports: Case study of São Paulo airports," *Journal of the Brazilian Air Transportation Research Society*, vol. 3, n.1, pp. 41-51, 2007.
- [30] A. R. Correia and S. C. Wirasingue, "Development of level of service standards for airport facilities: application to S ão Paulo International Airport," *Journal of Transport Management*, vol.13, pp. 97-103, 2007.
- [31] A. M. Ferreira, "Desdobramento da qualidade em serviços: o caso da biblioteca da Escola de Engenharia da UFRGS." M.S. thesis, Industrial and Transportation Engineering Departament, UFRGS, Porto Alegre, RS, 1997.
- [32] J. L. D. Ribeiro, M. E. Echeveste and A. M. F. Danilevicz, A Utilização do QFD na otimização de produtos, processos e

serviços, Série Monográfica Qualidade, FEENG/UFRGS, Porto Alegre, 2001.

- [33] Y. Akao, Quality Function Deployment: Integrating Customer Requirements into Product Design. Productivity Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1990.
- [34] F. A. Sonda, J. L. D. Ribeiro and M. E. Echeveste. (June 2000). A aplica ção do QFD no desenvolvimento de software: um estudo de caso. *Produ ção* [Online] 10(1). pp. 51–75. Available: www.scielo.br/pdf/prod/v10n1/v10n1a04.pdf
- [35] S. Passeto, M. E. Echeveste and J. L. D. Ribeiro, "Desdobramento da Qualidade em servi os: Estudo de caso numa academia de ginástica," in Proc. 1th Congresso Brasileiro de Desenvolvimento de Produto - CBGDP, Belo Horizonte, 1999.
- [36] L. S. Rodrigues, M. E. Echeveste, and I. C. Paula, "Quality tools integration model applied to the PDP market research-conceptual project interface," in *Proc. International Society for Business and Industrial Statistics - ISBIS*, A gres, Portugal, 2007.
- [37] P. F. Magnago, V. L. M. Martins, A. N. Resende, A. A. Mendes, F. S. T Pinto, and M. E. Echeveste, "Desenvolvimento de melhorias em serviços: QFD e SFMEA como ferramentas na fidelização de clientes de uma farmácia de manipulação," *Espacios*, vol. 31, pp. 44-46, 2010.
- [38] A. G. Rosado Júnior, J. F. P. Lobato, M. E. Echeveste, et al. (January 2011). Identification of demand characteristics in the production of sires using a conceptual model of quality function deployment: A case study. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia* [Online] 40(1). pp. 221-229. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-35982011000100029
- [39] A. M. Marx, M. E. Echeveste and I. C. Paula. (December 2011). Desdobramento da função qualidade aplicado ao projeto de um detergente sustent ável. *Produção* [Online] 21(4). pp. 724-741. Avalilable: www.scielo.br/pdf/prod/v21n4/aop\_t6\_0005\_0303.pdf
- [40] N. K. Malhotra, Pesquisa de Marketing: uma orienta ção aplicada, 3th ed. Bookman, Brazil: Porto Alegre, 2001.
- [41] F. N. Mattar, *Pesquisa de Marketing: Volume 1*. 2th ed. Editora Atlas, Brazil: Porto Alegre, 1998.
- [42] G. C. Lalor and C. Zhang, "Multivariate outlier detection and remediation in geochemical databases," *The Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 281, pp.99-109, 2001.
- [43] J. F. Hair, W. C. Black, B. J. Babin, R. E. Anderson and R. L. Tatham, *An dise Multivariada de Dados*. 6th ed. Bookman, Brazil: Porto Alegre, 2009.



**Fernanda D. Weber** was graduated in Civil Engineering from Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS) in 1998, and master's degree in Production Engineering from Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS) in 2001. She is currently a doctoral candidate in the Graduate Program in Production Engineering at UFRGS. Has experience in Transportation Engineering with emphasis in Transportation Planning, acting on the following themes: quality, automobile and urban traffic, public transportation and air transportation.



Aline C. B. Mancuso was graduated Bachelor degree in Statistics from the Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS) in 2010. Today's Statistical Consulting Medical Audits. She has experience in Probability and Statistics, with emphasis in Statistics.



Luiz A. S. Senna was graduated in Civil Engineering from Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS) in 1980) Master in Transportation Engineering COPPE / Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ) in 1988 and PhD at Institute Of Transport Studies - Leeds University (1994). It postdoc at the University of Oxford and was visiting professor at the Transport Studies Unit - TSU and the Centre for Brazilian Studies.

It has executive training the Kennedy School of Government - Harvard University. He is a professor at the Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Visiting Professor of Grenoble Graduate School of Business, France. He was a member of the editorial board of Publisher UFRGS. He was Secretary of Urban Mobility in Porto Alegre and CEO of the Public Transport Company and Circulation-EPTC. He has extensive experience in Transportation Engineering with emphasis in Transportation Planning, acting on the following topics: transportation planning, concession roads, transport economics, logistics and financing of transport infrastructure.



**Márcia E. S. Echeveste** is graduate in Statistics from the Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS) in 1989, Masters in Industrial Engineering from UFRGS in 1997 and Ph.D. in Industrial Engineering from UFRGS in 2003. Postdoctoral concluded in Product Development at EESC-USP (2005). Associate professor at the Federal University of Rio Grande do

Sul acting in undergraduate in the Department of Statistics and Graduate in Production Engineering. Referee Journals of Product and Production (ABEPRO) and Production (USP). She has experience in Production Engineering with emphasis in Quality Engineering, acting on the following themes: quality, statistical analysis, statistical quality control, product development and market research.